

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 1
(Electronic Format)**I. GENERAL INFORMATION**District: Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management DistrictRule No(s): 214 Date adopted/Amended/Rescinded: Adopted October 28, 2010Rule Title(s): Federal New Source ReviewDate Submitted to ARB: November 5, 2010If an Amended Rule, Date Last Amended (or Adopted): N/AIs the Rule Intended to be Sent to the U.S. EPA as a SIP Revision? ☒ Yes ☐ No (If No, do not complete remainder of form)District Contact: Kevin J. Williams Phone Number: (916) 874-4851 E-mail Address: kjwilliams@airquality.orgNarrative Summary of New Rule or Rule Changes: ☒ New Rule ☐ Amended Rule

Rule 214 is a new rule that includes federal-only permitting requirements. Rule 214 is intended to be sent to the U.S. EPA as a SIP Revision to the existing SIP Rule 202 from 1984. Rule 214 meets all requirements of Title 40 Section 51.165, 42 USC 7410(a)(2)(C), 7502(c)(5), 7503, and 7511a(d)(2).

The District is requesting expedited processing to allow EPA to meet a May 2011 deadline for approving the rule or promulgating a Federal Implementation Plan.

Pollutant(s) Regulated by the Rule (Check): ☒ ROG ☒ (NO_x) ☒ SO₂
☐ (CO) ☒ PM ☐ TAC (name): _____**II. EFFECT ON EMISSIONS**

Complete this section ONLY for rules that, when implemented, will result in quantifiable changes in emissions. Attach reference(s) for emission factor(s) and other information. Attach calculation sheet showing how the emission information provided below was determined.

Net Effect on Emissions: ☐ Increase ☒ Decrease ☐ N/AEmission Reduction Commitment in SIP for this Source Category: N/A

Inventory Year Used to Calculate Changes in Emissions: _____ Area Affected: _____

Future Year Control Profile Estimate (Provide information on as many years as possible):

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 2
(Electronic Format)

Baseline Inventory in the SIP for the Control Measure: Not Applicable

Emissions Reduction Commitment in the SIP for the Control Measure: _____

Revised Baseline Inventory (if any): _____

Revised Emission Reduction Estimate (if developed): Not Applicable

Note that the district's input to the Rule Evaluation Form will not be used as input to the ARB's emission forecasting and planning.

III. SOURCES/ATTAINMENT STATUSDistrict is: ☐ Attainment ☒ Nonattainment ☐ Split

Approximate Total Number of Small (<100 TPY) Sources Affected by this Amendment: _____

Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%Number of Large (\geq 100 TPY) Sources Controlled: 4 Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%

Name(s) and Location(s) (city and county) of Large (\geq 100 TPY) Sources Controlled by Rule *(Attach additional sheets as necessary)*: Aerojet, Rancho Cordova, Sacramento County; Proctor & Gamble, Sacramento, Sacramento County; Cosumnes Power Plant, Clay Station, Sacramento County; Kiefer Landfill, Sacramento, Sacramento County;

IV. EMISSION REDUCTION TECHNOLOGYDoes the Rule Include Emission Limits that are Continuous? ☒ Yes ☐ NoIf Yes, Those Limits are in Section(s) 300 of the Rule.

Other Methods in the Rule for Achieving Emission Reductions are: _____

V. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

The Rule Contains:

Emission Limits in Section(s): 300 Work Practice Standards in Section(s): N/ARecordkeeping Requirements in Section(s): N/A Reporting Requirements in Section(s): N/A

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 3
(Electronic Format)

VI. IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY PLAN

☐ No Impact ☐ Impacts RFP ☒ Impacts attainment

Discussion: _____